IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY SECOND DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GOPALA KRISHNA TAMADA

WRIT PETITION NO : 20112 of 1994

Between:

Smt.Urmila Varma W/o.Nehpal Singh
R/o.H.No.14-10-403,Jumerat Bazar Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Director of School Education Govt.of A.P
Hyderabad.
2 Regional Joint Director for Education Govt. of A.P
Hyderabad.
3 District Educational Officer
Hyderabad Dist.
4 The Deputy Dist Educational Officer
Secunderabad.
5 The President Secunderabad Hindi Vidyalaya and Saraswati Kanya
Vidyalaya Secunderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ,order or direction, declaring the action of the respondents in not considering the petitioner's case for promotion as Grade-I Hindi Pandit and Sanction of increments from the date of the appointment is illegal and arbitrary, and it its further prayed that the Hon'ble Court may please to direct the respondents to consider for promotion as Grade-I Hindi pandit Post and also increments to the petitioner herein with effect from 30-6-83 pending disposal of the W.P

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.Y.ASHOK RAJ

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 4 : Govt. for Education
Counsel for Respondent No.5 No.: MR.P.N.A.CHRISTIAN

The Court made the following :

O R D E R

In this writ petition the petitioner sought for a declaration that the action of the respondents in not considering his case for promotion as Grade-I Hindi Pandit and sanction of increments from the date of his appointment is arbitrary and illegal**.**

It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that subsequent to the filing of the writ petition, the petitioner has retired from service and as such the cause in this writ petition does not survive requiring any further adjudication.

The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____ **GOPALAKRISHNA TAMADA,J**

22ND July, 2004

VP

To

1 Director of School Education Govt.of A.P
Hyderabad.
2 Regional Joint Director for Education Govt. of A.P
Hyderabad.
3 District Educational Officer
Hyderabad Dist.
4 The Deputy Dist Educational Officer
Secunderabad.
5 The President Secunderabad Hindi Vidyalaya and Saraswati Kanya
Vidyalaya Secunderabad.

6. 2 CCs to Govt. Pleader for Education, High Court of A.P. Hyderabad (OUT)

7. 2 CD copies